

## Factorisation of Integral Domain

Divisibility in Integral Domain: An element  $a (\neq 0)$  of an integral Domain  $R$  is said to be a divisor or factor of an element  $b \in R$  if there exists  $c \in R$  such that

$$b = ac$$

and we write  $a|b$  to denote "a divides b".

Units: An element  $a$  of an integral domain  $R$  is called unit if it has multiplicative inverse in  $R$  i.e.  $\exists b \in R$  such that

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 1 \text{ (Unity).}$$

Note: ①  $1$  &  $-1$  are always units of Integral domains of integers.

② Every non-zero element of a field is unit.

③ If  $a \in R$  is unit of  $R$  then  $a^{-1}$  is also a unit of  $R$ .

Associates: Two non zero elements of an Integral domain  $R$  are called associates if  $a|b$  and  $b|a$ .

We write  $a \sim b$  to denote "a and b are associates".

Proper Divisor: Let  $a$  be a non-zero element of integral domain  $R$ . We know that units of  $R$  and associates of  $a$  are always divisors of  $a$ . These are called improper divisors of  $a$ .

A divisor which is not improper divisor is called proper divisor of  $a$ . i.e.  $b$  is said to be a proper divisor of  $a$  if

①  $b|a$

②  $b$  is neither unit nor an associate of  $a$

➤ Prime Element: Let  $R$  be an integral domain. An element  $p \in R$  is called a prime element if  $p \neq 0$ ,  $p$  is non-unit and if  $p \mid ab$  then either  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

Irreducible element: Let  $R$  be an integral domain. An element  $a \in R$  is called an irreducible element if it is not a product of two non-units.

or

Let  $R$  be an integral domain. An element  $a \in R$  is called an irreducible element if it is not unit and its only divisors are units of  $R$  and associates of  $a$ .

Greatest Common divisor: Let  $a$  and  $b$  be arbitrary elements of an integral domain  $R$ . An element  $d \in R$  is said to be g.c.d of  $a$  and  $b$  if

①  $d \mid a$ ,  $d \mid b$

& ② if  $c \in R$  such that  $c \mid a$ ,  $c \mid b$  then  $c \mid d$ .

We write  $(a, b)$  to denote "gcd of  $a$  &  $b$ ".

• Least Common Multiple: Let  $a$  and  $b$  be any two arbitrary elements of an integral domain  $R$ . An element  $c \in R$  is said to be l.c.m of  $a$  and  $b$  if

①  $a \mid c$ ,  $b \mid c$

& ② if  $m \in R$  such that  $a \mid m$ ,  $b \mid m$  then  $c \mid m$

Unique Factorisation Domain:

An integral domain  $R$  is said to be a unique factorisation domain if

- (i) any non-zero element of  $R$  is either a unit or it can be expressed as the product of a finite number of primes in  $R$ .
- (ii) The factorisation in ① is unique (i.e. free from order & associates)



3

Content: Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n$  be a polynomial over a unique factorisation domain  $R$ . Then content of  $f(x)$  is greatest common divisor of coefficient  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ . It is denoted by  $c(f(x))$ .

Primitive Polynomial: A polynomial  $f(x) \in R[x]$  is called primitive polynomial if its content is 1.

Example

$x^2 - 3x + 5$  is primitive ( $\because \gcd(1, -3, 5) = 1$ )

$2x^2 + 4x + 8$  is not primitive ( $\because \gcd(2, 4, 8) = 2$ )

$3x^2 + 12$  is not primitive ( $\because \gcd(3, 12) = 3$ )

Note ① An irreducible polynomial is necessarily primitive

Ex Let us consider a non-primitive polynomial  
say  $3x^2 + 12$

We have  $3 \cdot (x^2 + 4)$  is product of two polynomials  $3$  &  $x^2 + 4$  which are not associates of  $3x^2 + 12$ . {Note:  $3$  is ~~not~~ zeroth degree polynomial}

Hence  $3x^2 + 12$  is reducible.

② A primitive polynomial may or may not be reducible.

Ex Let us consider two polynomials  
 $x^2 + 3x + 2$  &  $x^2 + 3x + 1$

Both are primitive as  $\gcd(1, 3, 2) = 1$  &  $\gcd(1, 3, 1) = 1$

Here  $x^2 + 3x + 2 = (x+1)(x+2)$  (reducible)

where as  
 $x^2 + 3x + 1$  is not reducible.

Thm. The relation of divisibility on an integral domain  $R$  is reflexive and transitive.

Proof Let  $R$  be any integral domain and let  $a \in R$

$$\text{Since } a = 1 \cdot a$$

$$\Rightarrow a | a$$

$\Rightarrow$  The relation is reflexive

Let  $a, b, c \in R$  such that  $a | b$  &  $b | c$

$$\Rightarrow \exists x \text{ \& } y \in R \text{ such that}$$

$$b = ax \text{ \& } c = by$$

$$\text{Now } c = by$$

$$= (ax)y$$

$$= a \cdot (xy)$$

$$= az \text{ where } z = xy \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow a | c$$

$\Rightarrow$  The relation is transitive. Proof

Thm If  $R$  is an integral domain and  $a, b, c \in R$  then

$$\textcircled{i} \ a | b, a | c \Rightarrow a | b + c$$

$$\textcircled{ii} \ a | b \Rightarrow a | bx \ \forall x \in R$$

Proof  $\textcircled{i}$   $a | b$  &  $a | c$

$$\Rightarrow \exists x \text{ and } y \in R \text{ such that}$$

$$b = ax \text{ \& } c = ay$$

$$\Rightarrow b + c = ax + ay$$

$$\Rightarrow b+c = a(x+y)$$

$$\Rightarrow b+c = az \text{ where } z = x+y \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow a | b+c$$

$$(ii) a | b \Rightarrow \exists y \in R \text{ such that } b = ay$$

$$\Rightarrow bx = (ay)x$$

$$\Rightarrow bx = a(yx)$$

$$\Rightarrow bx = ap \text{ where } p = yx \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow a | bx$$

Thm. If  $R$  is an integral domain, the relation on  $R$  defined as "a is an associate of b" is an equivalence relation.

Proof Let  $a \in R$

since  $a | a$  (& converse)

$$\Rightarrow a \sim a$$

So it is reflexive relation.

Let  $a, b \in R$  and  $a \sim b$

$$\Rightarrow a | b \text{ \& } b | a$$

$$\Rightarrow b | a \text{ \& } a | b$$

$$\Rightarrow b \sim a$$

So it is symmetric relation

Let  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $a \sim b$  \&  $b \sim c$

$$\Rightarrow a | b \text{ \& } b | a \text{ similarly } b | c \text{ \& } c | b$$

$$\text{ie } a | b, b | c \text{ \& } c | b, b | a$$

$$\Rightarrow a | c \text{ \& } c | a \text{ ie } a \sim c \text{ Hence Transitive}$$

ie Equivalence.