

39

Remainder theorem: If $f(x) \in F[x]$ and $a \in F$, for any field F , then $f(a)$ is the remainder when $f(x)$ is divided by $(x-a)$

Proof By division algorithm \exists polynomial $q(x)$ & $r(x)$ such that $f(x) = (x-a) \cdot q(x) + r(x)$ where

either $r(x) = 0$ or $r(x)$ is a polynomial whose degree is less than that of $x-a$. Since degree of $(x-a)$ is one so degree of $r(x)$ must be of zero or no degree.

Hence $r(x)$ is constant polynomial i.e. $r(x) = r \in F$

Thus $f(x) = q(x)(x-a) + r$.

Putting $x=a$ we get

$$f(a) = q(a) \cdot (a-a) + r$$

$$\Rightarrow f(a) = r. \text{ Proved}$$

Factor theorem If $f(x) \in F[x]$ and $a \in F$, for a field F then $x-a$ divides $f(x)$ if & only if $f(a) = 0$

Proof By remainder theorem, $f(a)$ is remainder when $f(x)$ is divided by $(x-a)$. Therefore if $f(a) = 0$ then $(x-a)$ divides $f(x)$.

Conversely if $x-a$ divides $f(x)$, then we get

$$f(x) = (x-a) \cdot q(x)$$

Putting $x=a$ we get

$$f(a) = (a-a) \cdot q(a) = 0$$

Proved

Thm In a unique factorisation domain (UFD) every pair of non-zero elements has a HCF and LCM.

Proof Let R be UFD. and $a, b \in R$ s.t. $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$

Case I When either a or b is unit
Without loss of generality let us assume that a is unit.

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1} \in R$$

$$\text{Now } b = a a^{-1} b$$

$$\Rightarrow a | b \text{ also } a | a$$

So a is common factor of a & b .

Let $d \in R$ be such that $d | a$ & $d | b$

So in particular $d | a$.

$$\Rightarrow a \text{ is HCF of } a \text{ & } b \text{ (By defn of HCF)}$$

$$\text{Also } a | b \text{ also } b | b$$

$$\Rightarrow b \text{ is common multiple of } a \text{ & } b$$

Let $c \in R$ be such that $a | c$ & $b | c$.

So in particular $b | c$.

$$\Rightarrow b \text{ is LCM of } a \text{ & } b \text{ (By defn of LCM)}$$

Case II If neither a nor b are unit.

$$\text{Let us write } a = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$$

$$b = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_n^{\beta_n} \text{ (By defn of UFD)}$$

as product of powers of set of distinct primes.

39

(Note: Here we allow zero powers as well.

$$\text{ie like } 2 = 2^1 \times 3^0 \\ \& 3 = 2^0 \times 3^1 \text{)}$$

$$\text{let } \gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \& \epsilon_i = \min(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \quad i=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

$$\text{let } c = p_1^{\gamma_1} \cdot p_2^{\gamma_2} \dots p_n^{\gamma_n} \& d = p_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdot p_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots p_n^{\epsilon_n}$$

Clearly $c, d \in R$ and c is LCM and d is HCF of a & b

Ex^m: If R is principal ideal domain (PID), then ^{proved} every pair of non-zero elements a & b of R has HCF and LCM. Further if $d(a, b)$, then $d = ax + by$ for some $x, y \in R$.

Proof Since R is principal ideal ring
 \Rightarrow Every ideal of R is principal ideal

Let a, b be two non-zero elements of R .

Clearly (a) & (b) are two principal ideals of R generated by a & b respectively

Since sum of two ideals & intersection of two ideals are again ideal.

$\Rightarrow (a) + (b)$ and $(a) \cap (b)$ are ideals of R

Since R is PID

$\Rightarrow \exists d$ and $l \in R$ such that

$$(a) + (b) = (d) \quad \text{and} \quad (a) \cap (b) = (l).$$

Now we will prove that d is HCF & l is LCM of a & b .

At first we will prove that d is HCF of a & b

Since $(a) \subseteq (a)+(b)$ & $(b) \subseteq (a)+(b)$

$$\Rightarrow (a) \subseteq (d) \text{ \& } (b) \subseteq (d)$$

$$\Rightarrow d|a \text{ and } d|b$$

Also let $q \neq 0$ be an element of R such that

$$q|a \text{ and } q|b$$

$$\Rightarrow (a) \subseteq (q) \text{ \& } (b) \subseteq (q)$$

$$\Rightarrow (a)+(b) \subseteq (q)$$

$$\Rightarrow (d) \subseteq (q)$$

$$\Rightarrow q|d$$

Hence by defⁿ of HCF, d is HCF of a & b .

Again since $(d) = (a)+(b)$

$$\Rightarrow d \in (a)+(b)$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists x, y \in R \text{ such that}$$

$$d = ax + by. \text{ (P.W.)}$$

Now we will prove that l is LCM of a & b .

Since $(a) \cap (b) \subseteq (a)$ & $(a) \cap (b) \subseteq (b)$

$$\Rightarrow (l) \subseteq (a) \text{ \& } (l) \subseteq (b)$$

$$\Rightarrow a|l \text{ and } b|l.$$

Also let $m \neq 0$ be an element of R such that

$$a|m \text{ and } b|m$$

$$\Rightarrow (m) \subseteq (a) \text{ \& } (m) \subseteq (b)$$

$$\Rightarrow (m) \subseteq (a) \cap (b)$$

$$\Rightarrow (m) \subseteq (l)$$

$$\Rightarrow l|m$$

By defⁿ of LCM

l is LCM of a & b
(Proved)