

(9)

can write  $\alpha(x) = \phi(x) - \psi(x)$   
 where  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are bounded and non-decreasing  
 functions in  $[a, b]$

Hence, we may take  $\alpha(x)$  as bounded & non-decreasing

Since  $f(x)$  is continuous in  $[a, b]$ , so  $f(x)$  is bounded in  $[a, b]$

Thus, we have  $f(x), \alpha(x)$  are real and bounded and in addition  $\alpha(x)$  is non-decreasing in  $[a, b]$

Hence, in order to prove that  $\int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x)$  exists, it is sufficient to prove

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (S_\Delta - s_\Delta) = 0$$

where  $\Delta =$  any partition of  $[a, b]$

$S_\Delta =$  upper sum of  $\alpha(x)$  in  $[a, b]$

$s_\Delta =$  lower sum of  $\alpha(x)$  in  $[a, b]$

$\delta =$  Norm of partition  $\Delta$

Now,

$$S_\Delta - s_\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M_k [\alpha(x_{k+1}) - \alpha(x_k)] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m_k [\alpha(x_{k+1}) - \alpha(x_k)]$$

where  $M_k = \overline{\text{bol}}$  of  $f(x)$  in  $I_k$  &  $m_k = \underline{\text{bol}}$  of  $f(x)$  in  $I_k$   
 and  $I_k = [x_k, x_{k+1}]$  sub interval of  $[a, b]$ .

$$\therefore S_\Delta - s_\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (M_k - m_k) [\alpha(x_{k+1}) - \alpha(x_k)]$$

$$\therefore |S_\Delta - s_\Delta| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (M_k - m_k) [\alpha(x_{k+1}) - \alpha(x_k)] \right|$$

$$\Rightarrow |S_\Delta - s_\Delta| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |M_k - m_k| \cdot |\alpha(x_{k+1}) - \alpha(x_k)|$$

As  $f(x)$  is continuous in  $[a, b]$ , it is uniformly continuous  $\therefore \epsilon > 0, \exists$  a +ve <sup>integer</sup>  $\delta_0$ , such that  
 $|M_k - m_k| < \epsilon$ , for  $\delta < \delta_0$

∴ from above

$$|S_\Delta - s_\Delta| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon |\alpha(x_{k+1}) - \alpha(x_k)|, \quad \delta < \delta_0$$

$$= \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \{\alpha(x_{k+1}) - \alpha(x_k)\}$$

∵ mod is immaterial here, since  $\alpha(x)$  is nondecreasing  
 $\therefore \alpha(x_{k+1}) \geq \alpha(x_k)$

$$= \epsilon [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)] \quad \left\{ \because \alpha(x) \text{ is monotonic non-decreasing} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow |S_\Delta - s_\Delta| \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{choosing } \epsilon = \frac{\epsilon_1}{\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)} > 0$$

∴  $\forall \epsilon_1 > 0, \exists$  a +ve integer  $\delta_0$  such that  
 $|S_\Delta - s_\Delta| < \epsilon_1$ , for  $\delta < \delta_0$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (S_\Delta - s_\Delta) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow f(x)$  is stieljes integrable wrt.  $\alpha(x)$  in  $[a, b]$   
 i.e.  $\int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x)$  exists

vs.

Integration by parts formulae in Stieljes integral  
**Theorem 4(b):** If  $f(x)$  is of bounded variation and  $\alpha(x)$  is continuous in  $[a, b]$ , then the stieljes integral of  $f(x)$  wrt  $\alpha(x)$  from  $a$  to  $b$  exists and  
 $\int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x) = f(b) \cdot \alpha(b) - f(a) \alpha(a) - \int_a^b \alpha(x) df(x)$

Proof:-

Let us consider a partition  $\Delta = \{a = x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n = b\}$   
 of  $[a, b]$

Taking points  $\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$  in the sub-intervals  $I_0, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k, \dots, I_{n-1}$  respectively where  $I_k = [x_k, x_{k+1}]$   
 let us consider the sum

$$\sigma_\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_k) [\alpha(x_{k+1}) - \alpha(x_k)]$$



$$= f(\xi_0) [\alpha(x_1) - \alpha(x_0)] + f(\xi_1) [\alpha(x_2) - \alpha(x_1)] \\ + f(\xi_2) [\alpha(x_3) - \alpha(x_2)] + \dots + f(\xi_{n-1}) [\alpha(x_n) - \alpha(x_{n-1})]$$

$$= \alpha(x_1) [f(\xi_0) - f(\xi_1)] + \alpha(x_2) [f(\xi_1) - f(\xi_2)] + \dots + \alpha(x_n) [f(\xi_{n-1}) - f(\xi_n)] \\ + \alpha(x_{n+1}) [f(\xi_n) - f(\xi_{n+1})] + \dots + \alpha(x_{n-1}) [f(\xi_{n-2}) - f(\xi_{n-1})] \\ - \alpha(x_0) f(\xi_0) + \alpha(x_n) f(\xi_{n-1})$$

$$= -\alpha(x_0) [f(\xi_1) - f(\xi_0)] - \alpha(x_2) [f(\xi_2) - f(\xi_1)] + \dots + \\ - \alpha(x_{n-1}) [f(\xi_{n-1}) - f(\xi_{n-2})] - \alpha(a) f(\xi_0) + \alpha(b) f(\xi_n)$$

$$= \alpha(b) f(\xi_{n-1}) - \alpha(a) f(\xi_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha(x_k) [f(\xi_k) - f(\xi_{k-1})]$$

$$= \alpha(b) [f(\xi_{n-1}) - f(a)] - \alpha(a) [f(\xi_0) - f(a)] \\ - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha(x_k) [f(\xi_k) - f(\xi_{k-1})] + \alpha(b) f(b) - \alpha(a) f(a)$$

Now, taking the number of sub-intervals in  $[a, b]$  by the partitions  $\Delta$  infinitely i.e.  $n \rightarrow \infty$   
 i.e.  $\max \{x_1 - a, x_2 - x_1, \dots, b - x_{n-1}\} \rightarrow 0$

$\Rightarrow \xi_0 - a, \xi_1 - x_1, \xi_2 - x_2, \dots, b - \xi_{n-1}$  all are tends to 0

$$\xi_0 \rightarrow a, \xi_1 \rightarrow x_1, \xi_2 \rightarrow x_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1} \rightarrow b$$

$$f(\xi_0) \rightarrow f(a), f(\xi_1) \rightarrow f(x_1), \dots, f(\xi_{n-1}) \rightarrow f(b)$$

$\therefore$  from above,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_\Delta = f(b)\alpha(b) - f(a)\alpha(a) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha(x_k) [f(\xi_k) - f(\xi_{k-1})]$$

As,  $\alpha(x)$  is continuous and  $f(x)$  is of b.v. in  $[a, b]$

$$\therefore \lim_{S \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^n \alpha(x_n) [f(\xi_n) - f(\xi_{n-1})] \text{ exists and equal to } \int_a^b \alpha(x) df(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{S \rightarrow 0} \alpha \text{ also exists in } [a, b] \text{ and equal to } \int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x)$$

$$\therefore \int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x) = f(b)\alpha(b) - f(a)\alpha(a) - \int_a^b \alpha(x) df(x)$$

Remark:-

When  $\int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x)$  exist, then

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{R}_\Delta = \lim_{S \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta$$

$$\text{and } \int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x) = \lim_{S \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{R}_\Delta = \lim_{S \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta$$

Properties of Stieltjes integral

Theorem 5.67:- If  $f(x)$  is continuous and  $\alpha(x)$  is of b.v. in  $a \leq x \leq b$ , then for any  $c$  in  $a < c < b$

$$(i) \int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x) = \int_a^c f(x) d\alpha(x) + \int_c^b f(x) d\alpha(x)$$

$$(ii) \left| \int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x) \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(x)| dV_\alpha(x) \leq \max_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)| \cdot V_\alpha(b)$$

Proof of (i):-

Given  $f(x)$  is continuous and  $\alpha(x)$  is of b.v. in  $[a, b]$

$\Rightarrow f(x)$  is continuous and  $\alpha(x)$  is of b.v. in each of  $[a, c]$ ,  $[c, b]$  where  $a < c < b$ .

$\int_a^c f(x) d\alpha(x)$ ,  $\int_c^b f(x) d\alpha(x)$ ,  $\int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x)$  are all exists.