

Relation between magnetic susceptibility and magnetic moment :-

Curie law states that  $\chi_m^{\text{corr.}} = \frac{C}{T}$

where  $\chi_m$  = molar susceptibility of the substance after Diamagnetic and TIP (Temperature Independent Paramagnetism) correction

T = Absolute temperature

C = Curie Constant, characteristics of the substance

$$C = \frac{N_A \mu^2}{3K} \quad \text{where } N_A, \mu, k \text{ are}$$

Avgadro's no.,  
magnetic moment and  
Boltzmann Constant respectively

Hence,

$$\chi_m^{\text{Corr.}} = \frac{N_A \mu^2}{3KT}$$

$$\text{or, } \mu^2 = \chi_m^{\text{Corr.}} \frac{3KT}{N_A}$$

$$\text{or, } \mu = \sqrt{\frac{3K}{N_A}} \sqrt{\chi_m^{\text{Corr.}, T}}$$

$$\text{or, } \mu = 2.84 \sqrt{\chi_m^{\text{Corr.}, T}}$$

Bohr magneton :- (For electron)

The unit in which the magnetic moment of a substance is expressed is called Bohr magneton ( $\beta$  or  $B$ ) and is defined by

$$\beta = \frac{e\hbar}{4\pi mc}$$

$e$  = charge of  $e^-$

$\hbar$  = Planck's constant

$m$  = mass of  $e^-$

$c$  = velocity of light

$$\beta = 0.927 \times 10^{-20} \text{ ergs/gauss}$$

## Diamagnetic Correction for some ligands :-

Pyridine ( $C_5H_5N$ )

(Data Collected from  
Pascal's constant table)

<u>Atom Correction (<math>\chi_A</math>)</u> (cgs unit/gram)	<u>Constitutive Correction (<math>\lambda</math>)</u> (cgs unit/gram)
$5 \times C = -5 \times 6 \times 10^{-6}$	
$5 \times H = -5 \times 2.93 \times 10^{-6}$	$5 \times C (\text{ring}) = -5 \times \frac{0.24}{10^{-6}}$
$1 \times N (\text{ring}) = -4.61 \times 10^{-6}$	$= -1.20 \times 10^{-6}$
	$-49.26 \times 10^{-6}$

~~bookend effect~~ We have the relation,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_m(\text{dia}) &= \sum n_A \chi_A + \sum \lambda \\ &= (-49.26 \times 10^{-6} - 1.20 \times 10^{-6}) \text{ cgs int} \\ &= \underline{-50.46 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cgs int}} \end{aligned}$$

The experimental value is  $\underline{\underline{-49 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cgs int}}}$

The experimental data holds good with the theoretical data. However, for heavy ligands the theoretical data and the experimental data do not fit well.