

Field Adjunction: Suppose K is an extension field of a field F . Let $a \in K$. Suppose C is collection of all subfields of K containing both F and a .

Obviously C is non-empty because at least K itself is in C . Let $F(a)$ denotes intersection of all those subfield of K which are in C .

Then $F(a)$ is also a subfield of K and $F(a)$ contains both F and a . So $F(a)$ is also a member of C . Also $F(a)$ is smallest field containing both F and a . We call $F(a)$ is a subfield obtained by adjoining a to F .

Construction of $F(a)$: Suppose K is extension of a field F . Let $a \in K$, and let

$$U = \left\{ \frac{k_0a^r + k_1a^{n-1} + \dots + k_n}{l_0a^m + l_1a^{m-1} + \dots + l_m} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{where } k_i, l_j \in F \text{ and} \\ l_0a^m + l_1a^{m-1} + \dots + l_m \neq 0 \text{ in } K \\ n, m \text{ are non-negative integers} \end{array} \right\}$$

Obviously U is a subfield of K .

[Note: It can easily be proved that U is the smallest subfield of K containing F & a i.e. $U = F(a)$]

Simple field Extension: The extension K of a field F is called simple extension of F if $K = F(a)$ for some $a \in K$.

Let K be an extension of field F . Let $a, b \in K$. Let $T = F(a)$. Since $F(a)$ is subfield of K , therefore K is also an extension of $F(a)$. Now W be subfield

of K by adjoining b to $F(a)$, then $W = (F(a))(b)$.

We denote this $(F(a))(b)$ by $F(a, b)$.

Similarly we define $F(b, a)$.

Obviously $F(a, b) \cong F(b, a)$.

So we can simply say that $F(a, b)$ is smallest subfield of K obtained by adjoining a & b to F .

Similarly, if $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in K$, then

$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is smallest subfield of K obtained by adjoining a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n to F .

Algebraic Field Extension:

Let $\mathcal{P}(x) \in F[x]$ the ring of polynomials in x over the field F . Let $\mathcal{P}(x) = a_0x^m + a_1x^{m-1} + \dots + a_m$.

Suppose K is an extension of F and $b \in K$, then by $\mathcal{P}(b)$ we mean the element $a_0b^m + a_1b^{m-1} + \dots + a_m$ of K . $\mathcal{P}(b)$ is also called value of $\mathcal{P}(x)$ at b .

If $\mathcal{P}(b) = 0$ then b is called zero of $\mathcal{P}(x)$.

Algebraic element: Let K be an extension of a field F . An element $a \in K$ is said to be algebraic over F if there is a non-zero polynomial $p(x) \in F[x]$ for which $p(a) = 0$.

In other words $a \in K$ is algebraic over F if \exists elements $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in F$ such that-

$$\beta_0 a^n + \beta_1 a^{n-1} + \dots + \beta_n = 0.$$

Transcendental element: Let K be an extension field of a field F . An element $a \in K$ is said to be transcendental if it is not algebraic over F . (3)

Defⁿ: A complex number is said to be algebraic number if it is algebraic over field of rational numbers.

Minimal polynomial of an algebraic element:

Let K be an extension of a field F . Let $a \in K$ be algebraic over F . Suppose $p(x)$ is a polynomial over F of lowest positive degree satisfied by a . Then $p(x)$ is called a minimal polynomial for a over F .

Let us impose the restriction on minimal polynomial for ' a ' over F that it should be monic. i.e., in it the coefficient of highest power of x is 1. Then we can say that minimal polynomial for a over F is unique.

Theorem: Let $a \in K$ be algebraic over F . Then any two minimal polynomials for ' a ' over F are equal.

Proof: Let $x^n + \alpha_n x^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_0$ & $x^n + \beta_n x^{n-1} + \dots + \beta_1 x + \beta_0$ be two minimal polynomials of ' a ' over F .

Then

$$a^n + \alpha_{n-1} a^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1 a + \alpha_0 = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\& a^n + \beta_{n-1} a^{n-1} + \dots + \beta_1 a + \beta_0 = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

From ① & ②

$$\alpha^n + \alpha_1 \alpha^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n = \alpha^n + \beta_1 \alpha^{n-1} + \dots + \beta_n$$

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha_1 - \beta_1) \alpha^{n-1} + (\alpha_2 - \beta_2) \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + (\alpha_n - \beta_n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_1 \alpha^{n-1} + \gamma_2 \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \gamma_n = 0$$

$$\text{where } \gamma_1 = \alpha_1 - \beta_1, \gamma_2 = \alpha_2 - \beta_2, \dots, \gamma_n = \alpha_n - \beta_n \in F$$

$\Rightarrow a$ satisfies a polynomial $q(x) = \gamma_1 x^{n-1} + \gamma_2 x^{n-2} + \dots + \gamma_n$ of $F[x]$ whose degree is less than n .

$\Rightarrow q(x)$ must be zero polynomial because the degree of minimal polynomial for 'a' over F is n .

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 0, \dots, \gamma_n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 - \beta_1 = 0, \alpha_2 - \beta_2 = 0, \dots, \alpha_n - \beta_n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \alpha_2 = \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n = \beta_n$$

Hence the two polynomials $x^n + \alpha_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n$ and $x^n + \beta_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + \beta_n$ are same polynomials
Prove