

~~8/11/2018~~
K.C.C.

TENSOR CALCULUS II (15)

Riemannian Christoffel's tensor

Definition: Christoffel symbols or Brackets:

We define $\Gamma_{\mu\nu, \sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\mu\sigma}}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{\partial g_{\mu\sigma}}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\sigma} \right)$ — (1)

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma = g^{\sigma\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\nu, \beta} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

The first one i.e. $\Gamma_{\mu\nu, \sigma}$ is called Christoffel symbol of the first kind, it is also written as $[\mu\nu, \sigma]$ and the second one i.e. $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma$ is called Christoffel symbol of the second kind, it is also written as $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \sigma \\ \mu\nu \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$.

Theorem (1) Prove that Christoffel symbols

$[\mathcal{J}\mathcal{K}, i]$ and $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} i \\ \mathcal{J}\mathcal{K} \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ are symmetric in \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{K} .

Proof: If we show that $[\mathcal{J}\mathcal{K}, i] = [\mathcal{K}\mathcal{J}, i]$

and $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} i \\ \mathcal{J}\mathcal{K} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} i \\ \mathcal{K}\mathcal{J} \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$, then the result will follow.

Now $[\mathcal{J}\mathcal{K}, i] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\mathcal{K}i}}{\partial x^\mathcal{J}} + \frac{\partial g_{\mathcal{J}i}}{\partial x^\mathcal{K}} - \frac{\partial g_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{K}}}{\partial x^i} \right)$ — (1)

Interchanging \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{K} , we have

$$[\mathcal{K}\mathcal{J}, i] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\mathcal{J}i}}{\partial x^\mathcal{K}} + \frac{\partial g_{\mathcal{K}i}}{\partial x^\mathcal{J}} - \frac{\partial g_{\mathcal{K}\mathcal{J}}}{\partial x^i} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\mathcal{K}i}}{\partial x^\mathcal{J}} + \frac{\partial g_{\mathcal{J}i}}{\partial x^\mathcal{K}} - \frac{\partial g_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{K}}}{\partial x^i} \right)$$

$$= [\mathcal{J}\mathcal{K}, i] \text{ by (1)}$$

for g_{ij} is a symmetric tensor

$$\therefore [\Gamma_{jk}, i] = [\Gamma_{ki}, j]. \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

S. Hashmi
u.c.c.

further $\left\{ \frac{i}{\Gamma_{jk}} \right\} = g^{ih} [\Gamma_{jk}, h]$

$$= g^{ih} [\Gamma_{kj}, h] \quad \text{by (2)}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{i}{\Gamma_{kj}} \right\}$$

$$\therefore \left\{ \frac{i}{\Gamma_{jk}} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{i}{\Gamma_{kj}} \right\} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

From (2) and (3), the required result follow.

Theorem (2) Prove that

$$(i) \quad \Gamma_{ij,k} + \Gamma_{jk,i} = \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j}$$

$$(ii) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \log \sqrt{g}$$

$$(iii) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \log \sqrt{g}$$

$$(iv) \quad \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k} = -g^{li} \Gamma_{lk}^i - g^{lj} \Gamma_{lk}^j$$

Proof (i) By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ij,k} + \Gamma_{jk,i} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_{ki}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{ji}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{ki}}{\partial x^j} \right) = \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} \end{aligned}$$

Satharum
K.C.C.

Proof(ii) we know that

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = A_J^i \frac{\partial a_J^i}{\partial x} \text{ (in usual notation)}$$

In our case it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^J} &= (\text{cofactor of } g_{ik}) \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^J} \\ &= g^{ik} \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^J}, \text{ for } g^{ik} = \frac{\text{cofactor of } g_{ik}}{g} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^J} &= g^{ik} \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^J} = g^{ik} [\Gamma_{iJ,k} + \Gamma_{Jk,i}] \text{ by (i)} \\ &= g^{ik} \Gamma_{iJ,k} + g^{ik} \Gamma_{Jk,i} \\ &= \Gamma_{iJ}^i + \Gamma_{Jk}^k = \Gamma_{iJ}^i + \Gamma_{Ji}^i = 2 \Gamma_{iJ}^i \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^J} = \Gamma_{iJ}^i \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{iJ}^i = \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^J} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \log \sqrt{g}}{\partial x^J} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Proved

$$\text{Also } \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^J} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^J} \log \sqrt{g} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

By (1) & (3), we have

$$\frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^J} = \Gamma_{iJ}^i \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

result (iii) is proved.

Proof (iv) $g_{iJ} g^{JK} = \delta_i^K = 1 \text{ or } 0$

Differentiating w.r.t. x^m , we have

$$g^{JK} \frac{\partial g_{iJ}}{\partial x^m} + g_{iJ} \frac{\partial g^{JK}}{\partial x^m} = 0$$

Multiplying both sides by g^{li} , and noting that $g_{ij} g^{li} = \delta_j^l$, $\delta_j^l \frac{\partial g^{jk}}{\partial x^m} = \frac{\partial g^{lk}}{\partial x^m}$

$$\text{Now } g^{li} g^{jk} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^m} + g^{li} g_{ij} \frac{\partial g^{jk}}{\partial x^m} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{li} g^{jk} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^m} + \delta_j^l \frac{\partial g^{jk}}{\partial x^m} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{li} g^{jk} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^m} + \frac{\partial g^{lk}}{\partial x^m} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial g^{lk}}{\partial x^m} + g^{li} g^{jk} [\Gamma_{im,j} + \Gamma_{jm,i}] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial g^{lk}}{\partial x^m} + g^{li} g^{jk} \Gamma_{im,j} + g^{jk} g^{li} \Gamma_{jm,i} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial g^{lk}}{\partial x^m} + g^{li} \Gamma_{im}^k + g^{jk} \Gamma_{jm}^l = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial g^{lk}}{\partial x^m} + g^{lk} \Gamma_{km}^k + g^{hk} \Gamma_{km}^l = 0$$

In view of this, we have

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k} + g^{li} \Gamma_{lk}^i + g^{lj} \Gamma_{lk}^j = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k} = -g^{li} \Gamma_{lk}^i - g^{lj} \Gamma_{lk}^j = 0$$

Proved