

Cancellation law: If R is a ring. and $a, b, c \in R$ where $a \neq 0$ then cancellation law is said to hold

$$\text{if } ab = ac \Rightarrow b = c$$

$$\& \text{ } ba = ca \Rightarrow b = c.$$

Th^m A ring R is without divisor of zero if & only if the two cancellation laws hold in R .

Proof: Let R is a ring without any divisor of zero
We want to show that the cancellation laws hold in R .

Let $a \neq 0$ & b, c be elements of R and

$$\text{Let } ab = ac$$

$$\Rightarrow ab - ac = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a(b - c) = 0$$

Since R is without divisor of zero

$$\& \text{ } a \neq 0 \Rightarrow (b - c) = 0$$

$$\text{ie } b - c = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b = c \quad (\text{Left cancellation law holds})$$

$$\text{Let } ba = ca$$

$$\Rightarrow ba - ca = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (b - c)a = 0$$

Since R is without divisor of zero

$$\text{and } a \neq 0 \Rightarrow b - c = 0$$

$$\text{ie } b = c \quad (\text{Right cancellation law holds})$$

(Necessary part is proved)

Sufficient Let two cancellation laws hold in R

We want to show that R has no divisor of zero
Let us assume that R has a divisor of zero

i.e. \exists two non-zero elements a & b such that
 $ab = 0$

Now $ab = 0$

$$\Rightarrow a \cdot b = a \cdot 0 \quad (\because a \cdot 0 = 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0 \quad (\text{Left Cancellation Law})$$

which is contradiction

Hence R can not have a divisor of zero.

Thm Prove that a commutative ring D with unity is an integral domain iff $\forall a, b, c \in D$ with $a \neq 0$
 $ab = ac \Rightarrow b = c$

(D. it)

Thm Every field is an Integral Domain

Proof A field is a commutative ring with unity and whose non-zero elements are units. (i.e. inverse of non-zero elements exists)

Now It is sufficient to show that a field has no divisor of zero.

Let a, b be any two elements of a field F such that $a \cdot b = 0$

Let $a \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow a^{-1}$ exists s.t. $a \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot a = 1$

$$ab = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1} \cdot (ab) = a^{-1} \cdot 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a^{-1}a)b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \cdot b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0$$

Similarly if $b \neq 0$ then we will get $a = 0$

Hence $ab = 0$

\Rightarrow Either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$

$\Rightarrow F$ has no divisor of zero

$\Rightarrow F$ is integral domain.

Ex: A skew field has no divisor of zero.

Sol: Let a, b be two elements of a skew field F

such that $a \cdot b = 0$

We want to show that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$

Let $a \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \exists a^{-1} \in F$ such that

$$a \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot a = 1.$$

Now $ab = 0$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}(ab) = a^{-1} \cdot 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a^{-1}a)b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \cdot b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0$$

So either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$

Hence F has no divisor of zero.

Thm: Every finite integral domain is a field.

Soln Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a finite integral domain

Let $a \neq 0$ be any element of D .

$$\text{Let } D = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$$

$$\text{Let } D' = \{ax_1, ax_2, ax_3, \dots, ax_n\}$$

Clearly all the elements of D' are distinct

if not let $ax_i = ax_j$

$$\Rightarrow x_i = x_j \quad (\because a \neq 0)$$

Since elements of D' are elements of D (By closure prop.)

$\Rightarrow \exists$ some $x_j \in D$ such that

$$ax_j = 1 \quad (\because 1 \in D)$$

$$\Rightarrow a \cdot x_j = x_j \cdot a = 1 \quad (\because D \text{ is commutative})$$

$$\Rightarrow x_j = a^{-1}$$

So multiplicative inverse of a exists

$\Rightarrow D$ is a field.